

Conditionally Recommended	10.06.2026	12 30 HRS
(Recommended, Conditionally Recommended, Not Recommended)		

Certificate of the Results of a Polygraph Examination

Surname and First Name of the Examinee	Adam Schmidt	Date of Birth	10.05.1980	Age	44
Examination in Connection with Theft	PHOTO				
Position					
Purpose of the Examination	Examination in connection with the theft of EUR 20,000				
Polygraph Examiner:	Anton Chervonenko				
Location of the Examination	Hamburg				
Polygraph Information (Type, Registration Number)	Computerized Polygraph "RUBIKON" TU U 26.2-2356813818-001:2015 Certificate of Conformity Ua.YT.030111-21 State Sanitary and Epidemiological Expert Opinion No. 602-123-20-1/23532 Complies with DSTU 8692:2016				
Recorded Channels:	☐ Thoracic Respiration ☐ Abdominal Respiration ☐ Electrodermal Activity ☐ Photoplethysmogram ☒ Tremor				

Before the polygraph examination began, the examinee was informed of the examination procedure. The examinee was also advised that the examination could be conducted only with his or her voluntary consent. After being informed of the applicable rights and conditions, the examinee provided written consent to undergo the examination.

An interview was conducted with the examinee to obtain additional biographical information, clarify health-related information, and collect other general background details.

During the subsequent pre-test interview, the test questions were discussed in detail until the examinee fully understood their meaning. The examinee was instructed to answer each question clearly with "yes" or "no." Where a clear response was difficult, the wording of the question was adjusted accordingly.

The examinee was informed that no question would be asked without prior review. All questions were repeated at least three times in different sequences. The Control Question Technique and search-test procedures were used during the examination.

Questions *	Answer	Result
1 Do you know where the employer kept the money that was stolen? Comment.	No	Deceptive
2 Do you know who stole the employer's money? Comment.	No	Deceptive
3 Do you know the exact amount of money that went missing from the employer? Comment.	No	Deceptive
4 Do you know in which currency the money was stolen? Comment.	No	Deceptive
5 Did you secretly take EUR 20,000 belonging to the employer? Comment.	No	Deceptive
6 Did you hide the stolen money? Comment.	No	Deceptive
7 Did you spend the stolen money? Comment.	No	Deceptive
8 Did you share the stolen money with anyone? Comment.	No	Truthful

Questions may be revised following the examinee's comments and in light of new information, provided that their substantive meaning is preserved.*

Conclusion - the polygraph examiner's probabilistic opinion - is based on the analysis of the examinee's physiological responses recorded during the polygraph examination.*

Countermeasures	Not detected
Behavior	Normal.
Conclusion *	Deception was indicated in Questions 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7.

This opinion is prepared on the basis of the analysis performed by the specialist in instrumental deception detection and the information obtained from the examinee.

The results of the polygraph examination are probabilistic, strictly confidential, and do not constitute evidence in court.

Date	11.06.2026	Polygraph Examiner:	Anton Chervonenko
------	------------	---------------------	-------------------